

---

**changes in final decision**

5 messages

---

**rob brown** <rjbrown@gmail.com>  
To: Laura Furniss <laura@furnissflg.com>, Johannes <Johannes@furnissflg.com>

Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 2:21 AM

I ran it through an OCR thing to get a text version (which I need for my own purposes), and I highlighted the significant changes compared to the tentative decision.

You can also get to these as html files here:

[http://www.karmatics.com/final\\_decision-3743/text.html](http://www.karmatics.com/final_decision-3743/text.html)  
[http://www.karmatics.com/final\\_decision-3743/textHilitedChanges.html](http://www.karmatics.com/final_decision-3743/textHilitedChanges.html)

(fyi, your objections managed to get the terms "crime", "felony", and "mental illness" in there)

-rob

---

 **decisionHilitedChanges.pdf**  
1193K

---

**Laura M. Furniss** <laura@furnissflg.com>  
To: rob brown <rjbrown@gmail.com>, Johannes <Johannes@furnissflg.com>

Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 11:00 AM

Mr. Brown,

Kindly refrain from any communication that is not related to this case substantively or related to the health, safety or welfare of Stella.

Additionally, as Eve has repeatedly requested, you may not communicate with her regarding legal matters. It is harassment and disturbs the peace of your wife. This is the last request to cease this behavior immediately.

Sincerely,

Laura

--

Laura M. Furniss  
Principal  
Furniss Family Law Group, Inc.  
499 Seaport Court, Suite 200  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
p: (650) 549-8190  
f: (650) 542-8432  
Laura@FurnissFLG.com  
[www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com](http://www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com)

This electronic message transmission contains confidential information from Furniss Family Law Group, Inc. that may be proprietary and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying or distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the address listed in the "From:" field.

---

From: rob brown <rjbrown@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 2:21 AM  
To: Laura M. Furniss; Johannes  
Subject: changes in final decision

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**rob brown** <rjbrown@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 1:06 PM

To: "Laura M. Furniss" <laura@furnissflg.com>, Johannes <Johannes@furnissflg.com>, Hannah Clauson <Hannah@furnissflg.com>

Uhh, I thought health safety and welfare of Stella stuff went to Eve, and other stuff to you. This is other stuff. It's relevant, both to the actual case (which you've said you are going to appeal), and to the things that aren't the case but that you are involved in in some way (my attempt at getting money back for me and Eve from Dr. Newton that you inappropriately tried to interfere with, my just-getting-started efforts to alert the California Bar and the rest of the world to your bad and unethical lawyering, and your efforts to suppress my First Amendment right to do so with more idle threats and foot stamping). If it's too upsetting to you to receive that kind of email, is it possible you're in the wrong line of work?

Also, you keep saying this is the last request, but it never is. I'd be happy if it indeed was the last request. What comes after the last request? Are you just going to stop with your demands that I not email you things that seem to "disturb your peace"? Or are you gonna get us in front of a court again for round 2? Seriously, either one is super cool by me.

I'm pretty confident that after your "rampant NPD" and "you used a time machine to plagiarize my amazing template (!!!?) that I learned in law school" email and the one about me suffering from frustration over trial court deadlines (given that, again, yours was late, mine on time, I won, you lost, etc).... ya know, I think I'm in the right for communicating directly with Eve when I see fit.

Eve is asking me for flexibility with implementing the schedule in orders, because she didn't prepare for this outcome. Shouldn't that be you asking me for that favor? Do you think your approach lends itself to that?

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Laura M. Furniss** <laura@furnissflg.com>

Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 1:17 PM

To: rob brown <rjbrown@gmail.com>, Johannes <Johannes@furnissflg.com>, "Hannah E. Clauson" <Hannah@furnissflg.com>

Mr. Brown,

I am requesting you cease harassing your wife. You have clearly stated your refusal to cease harassment of me.

I recommend you read Marriage of Nadkarni and other cases that show how verbal abuse and other behavior specifically targeted at disturbing the peace of a spouse is in fact domestic violence.

I have said this before, there is no winning in family law. Your boastful and inappropriate behaviors seem to be bolstered by this ruling, however, it is not justification for harassing and offensive communication. Kindly cease this type of communication with Eve. She is a represented party. You are acting as your own attorney and are held to the same standard as attorneys. I am instructing you to stop contacting Eve about anything other than Stella's immediate health, welfare or safety. Legal emails/texts/calls/OFW messages are considered harassing to Eve.

Finally, you were ordered by Judge Wiley to ONLY use Our Family Wizard to communicate with Eve. Late night, threatening and conditional emails about custodial time to Eve are in violation of that Court Order. Please comply forthwith.

LF

--

Laura M. Furniss  
Principal  
Furniss Family Law Group, Inc.  
499 Seaport Court, Suite 200  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
p: (650) 549-8190  
f: (650) 542-8432  
Laura@FurnissFLG.com  
www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com

This electronic message transmission contains confidential information from Furniss Family Law Group, Inc. that may be proprietary and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying or distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the address listed in the "From:" field.

---

From: rob brown <[rjbrown@gmail.com](mailto:rjbrown@gmail.com)>  
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 1:06 PM  
To: Laura M. Furniss; Johannes; Hannah E. Clauson  
Subject: Re: changes in final decision

Uhh, I thought health safety and welfare of Stella stuff went to Eve, and other stuff to you. This is other stuff. It's relevant, both to the actual case (which you've said you are going to appeal), and to the things that aren't the case but that you are involved in in some way (my attempt at getting money back for me and Eve from Dr. Newton that you inappropriately tried to interfere with, my just-getting-started efforts to alert the California Bar and the rest of the world to your bad and unethical lawyering, and your efforts to suppress my First Amendment right to do so with more idle threats and foot stamping). If it's too upsetting to you to receive that kind of email, is it possible you're in the wrong line of work?

Also, you keep saying this is the last request, but it never is. I'd be happy if it indeed was the last request. What comes after the last request? Are you just going to stop with your demands that I not email you things that seem to "disturb your peace"? Or are you gonna get us in front of a court again for round 2? Seriously, either one is super cool by me.

I'm pretty confident that after your "rampant NPD" and "you used a time machine to plagiarize my amazing template (!!!?) that I learned in law school" email and the one about me suffering from frustration over trial court deadlines (given that, again, yours was late, mine on time, I won, you lost, etc).... ya know, I think I'm in the right for communicating directly with Eve when I see fit.

Eve is asking me for flexibility with implementing the schedule in orders, because she didn't prepare for this outcome. Shouldn't that be you asking me for that favor? Do you think your approach lends itself to that?

On Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 11:00 AM, Laura M. Furniss <[laura@furnissflg.com](mailto:laura@furnissflg.com)<mailto:[laura@furnissflg.com](mailto:laura@furnissflg.com)>>> wrote:  
Mr. Brown,

Kindly refrain from any communication that is not related to this case substantively or related to the health, safety or welfare of Stella.

Additionally, as Eve has repeatedly requested, you may not communicate with her regarding legal matters. It is harassment and disturbs the peace of your wife. This is the last request to cease this behavior immediately.

Sincerely,

Laura

--

Laura M. Furniss  
Principal  
Furniss Family Law Group, Inc.  
499 Seaport Court, Suite 200  
Redwood City, CA 94063  
p: (650) 549-8190<tel:%28650%29%20549-8190>  
f: (650) 542-8432<tel:%28650%29%20542-8432>  
[Laura@FurnissFLG.com](mailto:Laura@FurnissFLG.com)  
[www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com](http://www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com)<<http://www.furnissfamilylawgroup.com>>

This electronic message transmission contains confidential information from Furniss Family Law Group, Inc. that may be proprietary and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying or distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the address listed in the "From:" field.

---

From: rob brown <rjbrown@gmail.com<mailto:rjbrown@gmail.com>>

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**rob brown** <rjbrown@gmail.com>

Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 3:08 PM

To: "Laura M. Furniss" <laura@furnissflg.com>, Johannes <Johannes@furnissflg.com>, Hannah Clauson <Hannah@furnissflg.com>

Which communication to Eve was "harassing"?

I sometimes use alternate means of communicating with Eve because she sometimes goes five days without reading my OFW communications. I always use OFW first, but she often ignores it, as well as saying she can't install it on her phone (where she'd get instant alerts) because there isn't space. Which is completely ridiculous. I'd be glad to explain to Judge Wiley or Judge Massullo why I've communicated with Eve as I have. The last one was where SHE was asking for a change of schedule to accomodate her imminent vacation, and she wasn't responding when I took the time to try to clarify her request.

I'm not being boastful. I don't take credit for winning the case, I give you all the credit for losing it, through UTTER INCOMPETENCE. And I wouldn't be mean about it if you haven't been handling things all along in a horribly unethical, combative and condescending manner.

If you had communicated with me prior to the custody evaluation, or prior to the trial (i.e. if you had actually read and thought about the emails I sent you in the months preceding the trial) you (and your client) wouldn't be where you are now. You either ignored me or responded with bluster and idle threats. You could have done so many different things that would have made things better for your client, but you decided to take the gloves off, and in the process you wasted an immense amount of my money and time dealing with your BS. Harming Stella and your own client as well.

Now *my* gloves are off. Deal with it. At this point, I wouldn't feel the tiniest tinge of guilt if your career was completely destroyed due to your willful mishandling of this case. I'm sure you can destroy your career on your own, without any help from me, but my goal is to prevent you screwing up other people's lives in the meantime. And I have more determination than it appears you are able to anticipate.

You've been trying to use Nadkarni for a long time, and failing. I get it, your whole career is based on the "if anything you do is followed by my emotionally unstable client being upset, you are being violent." Even the Nadkarni attorneys weren't dumb enough to call his actions violent, they were non-violent actions that a court found rose to the level of being abuse according to the DVPA (and it didn't help him that he actually had been physically abusive in the past). Regardless, you tried, you failed. It didn't work. If you are now trying to say that I'm being abusive (or violent) by trying to arrange mediation with Eve, well, good luck with that.

So, look where we are. Your client is asking me a huge favor, which I don't have to grant. Stella will be fine if I simply insist that the orders be implemented immediately -- it is Eve that is going to suffer. And that's on you, 100%. Eve already has a malpractice case against you if she chooses to pursue it. I expect your interfering in my trying to save her \$8000 for Dr. Newton's incompetent evaluation is going to come back to haunt you the day she starts writing me monthly checks for \$700. There is a time when she is going to realize what you have done to her, and she will go after you. With my help. And I so look forward to it.

-rob